## SUPERIOR COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA FAMILY COURT MENTAL HEALTH & HABILITATION BRANCH

To the Metter of	197	Casa Na
In the Matter of	:	Case No.: Magistrate Judge Diane S. Lepley
[PERSON'S NAME]	:	ISP Meeting Date:
,	:	Annual Review Hearing:
Respondent	(*)	
		ONCLUSIONS OF LAW, F THE COURT
This matter came before the Co	urt on	for Respondent's
annual review of commitment. The asso	essments, e	evaluations, and the Individual Support Plan
("ISP"), the meeting for which was held	d on	, were filed with the
Court on	The na	ames of the parties present at the hearing are
listed on the sign-in sheet filed herein.	Upon cons	ideration of the documents filed herein,
including the status reports submitted for	or this hea	ring by the Provider and Respondent's Counsel
the testimony presented, and the repres	entations o	of counsel, the Court finds beyond a reasonable
doubt that:		
<u>F1</u>	NDINGS	OF FACT
1. Respondent,		, born on
is years of age. Respondent's p	sychologic	cal report of,
dated	indicates a	a diagnosis of in the cognitive
sphere andin the adapti	ive sphere.	Respondent's other diagnoses are found in the
Provider's Status Report which is incor	porated he	erein.
2. The Court finds beyond a reaso	nable doub	ot that the Respondent

[ ] is at least moderately intellectually disabled in both the cognitive and adaptive

spheres, and requires habilitation.

	I was found incompetent and unfikely to regain competency in the foreseeable future in	
	a criminal case after a hearing in accordance with Jackson v. Indiana, 406 U.S. 715	
	(1972). The Respondent is likely to cause injury to others as a result of his/her intellectual	
	disability if allowed to regain his/her liberty. D.C. Official Code § 7-1304.06a (2013	
	Repl.).	
3.	The Court finds beyond a reasonable doubt that the Department on Disability Services is	
capab	le of providing the required habilitation and has certified that the residential provider and	
day pı	rogram described in these Findings of Fact will implement Respondent's ISP. Placement	
with a	residential provider is necessary for providing the habilitation.	
4.	Respondent resides at,	
a	placement, operated by	
There	are other residents in this home.	
	[ ] Respondent resides in the District of Columbia.	
	[ ] Respondent resides in a residential placement outside of the District of Columbia but	
	is considered a District of Columbia resident pursuant to D.C. Official Code § 7-	
	1301.03(22).	
5.	Respondent attends the during the day,	
locate	d at for	
days p	per week, hours per day. Respondent receives habilitation at this program as noted	
in the	Provider's/Day Program's Status Report.	
[ ] Respondent receives a stipend/pay in the amount of per for		

[ ] F	Respondent does not receive a	stipend/pay.	
6.	In the residence, Responder	nt receives habilitation in the	areas noted in the Provider's
Stati	us Report. During this review p	· ·	
7.	Respondent participates in	social and recreational activi	ties in the community at least
		or day trip to:	
	he following dates:		
	pondent's health care decision		
Nam	ne:		
Rela	tionship:	<del></del>	
8.	Respondent's financial acco	ounts are as follows:	
D.C.	. Personal Allowance: \$		as of
Burial Fund: \$		as of	
Com	nmunity Account:		
	Savings: \$	as of	held at
	Checking: \$	as of	held at
Othe	er Account:		

: \$		as of	held at
Respondent's monthly a	llowance is \$		Respondent receives the following
benefits:			
9. Respondent recei	ves medications as	s noted on the P	Provider's Status Report.
[ ] The Respondent does	s not receive psych	otropic or seizu	are medication.
[ ] The Respondent rece	ives [ ] psychotro	pic/[] seizure	medication. Provider is aware of
Respondent's need to red	ceive the required	evaluations for	such medications. Such evaluations
have/have not been done	•		
Respondent's medical ne	eeds		
[ ] are being met	•		
[ ] are not being	met.		
Respondent's physical a	nd lab tests		
[ ] are current			
[ ] are not curren	t.		
The dates and results of	Respondent's phys	sical and lab tes	its are in the ISP and the Provider's
Status Report field for to	oday's hearing.		
Respondent has the folio	wing emergency r	oom visits and/	or hospitalizations (date, hospital,
purpose, and treatment):	·		
\			
During this review perio	d, Respondent has	suffered the fo	llowing illness/injury/medical
condition that required tl	ne attention of the	Respondent's F	Primary Care Physician:

Respo	ndent weighslbs. Based on the Respondent's nutritional information, the
weight	t range is reported as DWR/HWR/IBW istolbs.
10.	Respondent is receiving [ ] some [ ] all [ ] none of the programs and services indicated
as nec	essary by the ISP.
[ ] Th	e level of habilitation provided is not adequate in the following area(s):
11.	Through receipt of the above-described programs and services, and the progress made,
Respo	ndent has benefited from the habilitation provided.
12.	Respondent is receiving habilitation by the least restrictive means as defined in D.C.
Officia	al Code § 7-1301.03(16) and § 7-1305.03 (2013 Repl.) at this time.
13.	The Respondent's Report to the Court on Informed Consent for Voluntary Commitment
was fil	led by Counsel for the Respondent on, following an interdisciplinary
team n	neeting convened by DDS on The interdisciplinary meeting [ ]
was [	] was not convened during the annual ISP meeting.
14.	The Report indicates that the interdisciplinary team [ ] is in agreement [ ] is not in
agreen	nent on the question of whether the Respondent has capacity to consent or refuse to
contin	ue his or her commitment.
<b>15</b> .	To the extent the Respondent lacks capacity, the Report also indicates that the following
indivi	dual(s) is/are reasonably available, mentally capable, and willing to consent to or refuse
contin	ued voluntary commitment on behalf of the Respondent based on either the Respondent's

expressed wishes or a good faith belief as to the best interest of the Respondent, if his or her expressed wishes are unknown and cannot be ascertained: [ ] Respondent's General Guardian, Respondent's Limited Guardian, who has obtained specific authority from the Court to provide informed consent. Respondent's Conservator, who has obtained specific authority from the Court to provide informed consent. [ ] Respondent's spouse or domestic partner,\_\_\_\_\_\_. Respondent's adult child, [ ] Respondent's parent, \_\_\_\_\_ Respondent's adult sibling, \_\_\_\_\_\_. Respondent's religious superior, \_\_\_\_\_\_. [ ] Respondent's close friend, \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ [ ] Respondent's nearest living, adult relative, [ ] Respondent's guardian ad litem, More than one individual has been identified as being reasonably available, mentally capable, and willing to consent to or refuse continued voluntary commitment on behalf of the Respondent. The individual of lower priority [ ] does [ ] does not seek to rebut the presumption and challenge the decision of an individual with higher priority as set forth in in D.C. Official Code § 7-1304.11(a)(5)-(6).

of the Respondent [ ] intends [ ] does not intend to consent to continued voluntary commitment.

The Report indicates that the individual identified to provide informed consent on behalf

16.

17.	A Substitute Decision Maker's Report Regarding	g Continued Voluntary Commitment was
filed	on by	(name and
relatio	onship to the Respondent). The substitute decision	n maker [ ] does [ ] does not provide
inforn	ned consent on behalf of the Respondent to contir	ue his or her commitment. This decision
was n	nade based on [ ] the expressed wishes of the Res	pondent [ ] on a good faith belief as to
the be	est interests of the Respondent because the express	sed wishes of the Respondent are
unkno	own and could not be ascertained.	
18.	[ ] A Challenge to the Substitute Decision Mal	ter's Report Regarding Continued
Volur	ntary Commitment was filed on	by
	(name and relations	hip to the Respondent). The challenger
indica	ates that s/he [ ] would [ ] would not provide in	formed consent on behalf of the
Respo	ondent to continue his or her commitment. This d	ecision was made based on [ ] the
expre	ssed wishes of the Respondent [ ] on a good fait	belief as to the best interests of the
Respo	ondent because the expressed wishes of the Respo	ndent are unknown and could not be
ascert	tained.	
19.	An evidentiary hearing [ ] was [ ] was not held	to determine whether the Respondent has
capac	ity to provide informed consent to continue his or	her commitment. To the extent an
evide	ntiary hearing was held, the Court's ruling on the	issue of incapacity is contained in an
Order	dated	
20.	An evidentiary hearing [ ] was [ ] was not held	to determine who should consent to or
refuse	e continued voluntary commitment on behalf of the	e Respondent pursuant to D.C. Official
Code	§ 7-1304.11(a)(2). To the extent an evidentiary h	earing was held, the Court's ruling on the

issue	of who should consent to or refu	use continued voluntary on behalf of the	e Respondent is
conta	ined in an Order dated	<del>,</del>	
21.	Based on the Respondent's Re	eport to the Court on Informed Consent	for Voluntary
Com	mitment, the Substitute Decision	Maker's Report Regarding Continued	Voluntary
Com	mitment, any Challenge to the Su	ubstitute Decision Maker's Report Reg	arding Continued
Volu	ntary Commitment filed, any hea	arings held, and the record herein, the C	Court finds that
	[ ] the Respondent has capaci	ty to provide informed consent to conti	nue his or her
	commitment and [ ] does [ ]	does not provided informed consent.	
	[ ] the Respondent does not h	ave capacity to provide informed conse	ent to continue his or
	her commitment and	, the	of the
	Respondent, [ ] does [ ] does	not provide informed consent on behal	If of the Respondent
	to continue his or her committee	ment. This decision was made based or	n
	[ ] the expressed wish	es of the Respondent.	
	[ ] on a good faith bel	ief as to the best interests of the Respon	ndent because the
	expressed wishes of th	e Respondent are unknown and could i	not be ascertained.
	<u>CO</u>	ONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
	Upon consideration of the fore	egoing findings of fact, the Court concl	udes as a matter of
law t	hat the requirements of D.C. Off	ficial Code § 7-1304.11 (2013 Repl.) fo	r review and
conti	nuation of Respondent's volunta	ary commitment [ ] have [ ] have not b	een met.
		ORDER	
	Upon consideration of the fore	egoing findings of fact and conclusions	of law, it is, by the
Cour	t on thisday of _	, 20	_, hereby

ORDERED that Respondent,	
shall continue to be voluntarily committed for the provision of care and habilitation consistent	
with Respondent's comprehensive evaluation and individual support plan, in accordance with al	
applicable law; and it is	
[ ] ORDERED that the Respondent,, or an individual	
authorized to provide consent on his or her behalf, has not provided informed consent for his or	
her continued commitment, and the commitment is, therefore, TERMINATED and the case is	
CLOSED.	
[ ] FURTHER ORDERED that this matter is scheduled for further proceedings and	
filings as follows:	
(a) The meeting for the next annual ISP shall be held on or before	
(b) The approved ISP from the meeting above shall be filed with the Court within 30	
days of the meeting;	
(c) There shall be an annual review hearing before this Court on	
at; and	
(d) The Provider and Counsel shall file their status reports 10 days before the annua	
review hearing; and	
(e) Counsel shall file the Respondent's Report to the Court on Informed Consent for	
Voluntary Commitment no later than 60 days before the annual review hearing; and	
is	
[ ] FURTHER ORDERED that the Department on Disability Services shall notify all	
parties of the date and time set for the ISP and interdisciplinary team meeting; and it is	

[ ] FURTHER ORDERED			
IT IS SO ORDERED.			
	Magistrate Judge Diane S. Lepley		

Revised: May 29, 2018

## COPIES TO: Respondent Name: Respondent Address: Attorney Name: Attorney Address: Residential Provider Name: **Business Address:** Advocate Name: Advocate Address: Name: Assistant General Counsel 250 E Street SW, 6th Floor Washington, D.C. 20024 Name: **DDS** Court Liaison 250 E Street SW, 6th Floor Washington, D.C. 20024 Family/Other: Name: Address: